

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN Vienna | Austria

Computertechnik Institute of Computer Technology

Towards a Formal Model of Recursive Self-Reflection

Axel Jantsch

TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

DATE Workshop on Autonomous Systems Design March 2019

Self-awareness, in this context, is defined by the combination of three properties that IT systems and services should possess:

- Self-reflective: i) aware of their software architecture, execution environment and the hardware infrastructure on which they are running, ii) aware of their operational goals in terms of QoS requirements, service-level agreements (SLAs) and cost- and energy-efficiency targets, iii) aware of dynamic changes in the above during operation,
- 2 Self-predictive: able to predict the effect of dynamic changes (e.g., changing service workloads or QoS requirements) as well as predict the effect of possible adaptation actions (e.g., changing service deployment and/or resource allocations),
- 3 Self-adaptive: proactively adapting as the environment evolves in order to ensure that their QoS requirements and respective SLAs are continuously satisfied while at the same time operating costs and energy-efficiency are optimized.



Self-awareness, in this context, is defined by the combination of three properties that IT systems and services should possess:

- Self-reflective: i) aware of their software architecture, execution environment and the hardware infrastructure on which they are running, ii) aware of their operational goals in terms of QoS requirements, service-level agreements (SLAs) and cost- and energy-efficiency targets, iii) aware of dynamic changes in the above during operation,
- 2 Self-predictive: able to predict the effect of dynamic changes (e.g., changing service workloads or QoS requirements) as well as predict the effect of possible adaptation actions (e.g., changing service deployment and/or resource allocations),
- 3 Self-adaptive: proactively adapting as the environment evolves in order to ensure that their QoS requirements and respective SLAs are continuously satisfied while at the same time operating costs and energy-efficiency are optimized.



Self-awareness, in this context, is defined by the combination of three properties that IT systems and services should possess:

- 1 Self-reflective: i) aware of their software architecture, execution environment and the hardware infrastructure on
- - Self-adaptive: proactively adapting as the environment evolves in order to ensure that their QoS requirements and respective SLAs are continuously satisfied while at the same time operating costs and energy-efficiency are optimized.

Samuel Kounev et al. "Model-driven Algorithms and Architectures for Self-Aware Computing Systems (Dagstuhl Seminar 15041)". In: Dagstuhl Reports 5.1 (2015). Ed. by Samuel Kounev et al., pp. 164–196



Self-awareness, in this context, is defined by the combination of three properties that IT systems and services should possess:

- 1 Self-reflective: i) aware of their software architecture, execution environment and the hardware infrastructure on
- Recursive use of the term "awareness";
 Static, not dynamic;

goals in s <mark>vare</mark> of

; rt

Self-adaptive: proactively adapting as the environment evolves in order to ensure that their QoS requirements and respective SLAs are continuously satisfied while at the same time operating costs and energy-efficiency are optimized.

Samuel Kounev et al. "Model-driven Algorithms and Architectures for Self-Aware Computing Systems (Dagstuhl Seminar 15041)". In: Dagstuhl Reports 5.1 (2015). Ed. by Samuel Kounev et al., pp. 164–196



Self-awareness, in this context, is defined by the combination of three properties that IT systems and services should possess:

- 1 Self-reflective: i) aware of their software architecture, execution environment and the hardware infrastructure on
- Recursive use of the term "awareness";

goals in s vare of

- Static, not dynamic;
- The system cannot be aware of its own self-reflection.
 - Self-adaptive: proactively adapting as the environment evolves in order to ensure that their QoS requirements and respective SLAs are continuously satisfied while at the same time operating costs and energy-efficiency are optimized.



Actors in a Dynamic Dataflow Model



Example Actor



$$\nu(.) = \{3\}$$

$$\alpha(\langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{l} & \text{if } (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 < 35.5 \\ \mathfrak{n} & \text{if } 35.5 \le (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 < 37.5 \\ \mathfrak{e} & \text{if } 37.5 \le (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 < 38.5 \\ \mathfrak{h} & \text{if } 38.5 \le (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 \end{cases}$$



Signal Abstraction

 $s = \langle 36.7, 36.8, 36.7, 36.8, 36.9, 36.9, 37.0, 37.0, 37.1, 37.2, 37.3, 37.2, 37.3, 37.4, 37.5, 37.6, 36.6 \rangle$

$$\alpha(\langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{l} & \text{if } (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 < 35.5 \\ \mathfrak{n} & \text{if } 35.5 \leq (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 < 37.5 \\ \mathfrak{e} & \text{if } 37.5 \leq (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 < 38.5 \\ \mathfrak{h} & \text{if } 38.5 \leq (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 \end{cases}$$



Value Abstraction

$$lpha_{\mathbf{v}}(\langle \mathbf{x}
angle) = egin{cases} \mathfrak{A} & ext{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathfrak{a} ext{ or } \mathbf{x} = \mathfrak{b} \ \mathbf{x} & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

www.ict.tuwien.ac.at

Time Abstraction

$$\alpha_t(\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{A} & \text{if } x_1 = \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } x_2 = \mathfrak{a} \\ \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Actor Abstraction







Actor Abstraction



 A_A is an actor abstraction of A iff $S'_O = S''_O$.



Www.ict.tuwien.ac.at

Self Mdoel





www.ict.tuwien.ac.a

Self Model



\bar{A}_A is a **simulatable actor** of *A*:

- \bar{A}_A is an actor abstraction of A.
- It has an additional input signal denoted as **control signal**.
- It can be stopped and resumed through the control signal.
- Input signals are duplicated and controlled by the control input.
- It has an additional output signal: status signal.



Self Assessment



 $J_{A''}$ assesses the behavior and performance of actor A'':

- *J*_{A''} monitors abstractions of inputs and outputs of *A*''.
- It compares the observed behavior with expected behavior.
- It reports observed differences in Δ_J .
- It maintains an assessment history.



Adaptive Self-Model



A **learning actor** A^L modifies its behavior to minimize an error signal.

- D₁ analyses the differences between S'₁ abd S''₁.
- *D*₂ analyses the differences between *S*'_{*O*} and *S*''_{*O*}.
- *D*₁ is used to improve the environment model.
- *D*₂ is used to improve the actor model and the signal abstractions.



Not Quite Self-Aware



- *A_{ASM}* uses abstraction, simulation, learning, and a self-model.
- Self-awareness is a process that should be, dynamically and flexibly, applicable to a range of actors, including itself.





- A_{SAF}^{L} tracks behavior and expectations.
- It can trigger an in-depth investigation of an actor.





 A_{SAF}^{L} targets A^{L}

Self-Awareness Target: A^L





<u>Www.ict.tuwien.ac.at</u>







 \bigcirc



 A_{SAF}^{L} targets J_{AL}



Self-Awareness Target: J_{A^L}









www.ict.tuwien.ac.at



 A_{SAF}^{L} targets A_{SAF}^{L}



Self-Awareness Target: A^L_{SAF}





Conclusion

Promise:

- Any actor can be abstracted any number of times \rightarrow no situation is too complex to analyze.
- Any actor can be subject to the scrutiny of self-awarenss.



Conclusion

Promise:

- Any actor can be abstracted any number of times \rightarrow no situation is too complex to analyze.
- Any actor can be subject to the scrutiny of self-awarenss. Issues:
 - Automatic, efficient abstraction techniques
 - Assessment techniques
 - Goal management
 - Learning
 - Simulation



